

Explainable Reinforcement Learning

Francisco Cruz, Richard Dazeley, Peter Vamplew School of Information Technology, Deakin University, Australia. School of Science, Engineering and Information Technology, Federation University, Australia Contact Email: francisco.cruz@deakin.edu.au

1. Motivation

- Reinforcement learning (RL) [1] is a learning approach based on behavioural psychology.
- The aim of RL is to provide an autonomous agent with the ability to learn new skills by only interacting with its environment.
- An open issue in RL is the lack of visibility and understanding for end-users in terms of decisions taken by an agent.
- We propose a memory-based explainable RL (MXRL) approach, which allows an agent to explain decisions using domain language.

2. Memory-based Explainable Reinforcement Learning

- It is essential that non-expert end-users can understand agents' intentions to obtain more details in case of a failure.
- From a non-expert end-user perspective, most relevant questions: 'why?' and 'why not?' [2, 3].
- To answer these questions we compute (i) artificial agent's probability of success (Ps) and (ii) number of transitions to reach the goal state (Nt).
- Memory-based explainable reinforcement learning approach with the onpolicy method SARSA to compute the probability of success and the number of transitions to the goal state.
- 1: Initialize Q(s, a), T_t , T_s , P_s , N_t 2: **for** each episode **do** Initialize $T_{List}[]$ 3: Choose an action using $a_t \leftarrow \text{SELECTACTION}(s_t)$ 4: repeat 5: Take action a_t 6: Save state-action transition T_{List} .add(s, a) 7: $T_t[s][a] \leftarrow T_t[s][a] + 1$ 8: Observe reward r_{t+1} and next state s_{t+1} 9: Choose next action a_{t+1} using softmax action selection method 10: $Q(s_t, a_t) \leftarrow Q(s_t, a_t) + \alpha [r_{t+1} + \gamma Q(s_{t+1}, a_{t+1}) - Q(s_t, a_t)]$ 11: 12: $s_t \leftarrow s_{t+1}; a_t \leftarrow a_{t+1}$ **until** *s* is terminal (goal or aversive state) 13: if s is goal state then 14: for each s, $a \in T_{List}$ do 15: $T_s[s][a] \leftarrow T_s[s][a] + 1$ 16: end for 17: end if 18: Compute $P_s \leftarrow T_s/T_t$ 19: Compute N_t for each $s \in T_{List}$ as pos(s, T_{List}) + 1 20: 21: **end for**



3. Experimental set-up

- A 3x4 grid world scenario in two versions: bounded and unbounded.
- . _ . . . _ _
- Four allowed actions in this scenario: down, up, right, and left.



5. Conclusions

• We presented MXRL to explain to non-expert endusers the reasons why some decisions are taken in cer-

4. Experimental Results

- Why did you choose action down when in state 0? Using Ps: I chose to go *down because that has a 73.6% probability of successfully reaching the goal.*
- Why did you not choose to go left when in state 0? Using Ps: *I did not choose left because that has a zero probability of success, whereas by choosing down has a 73.6% probability of success, which was higher than other actions.*
- What is the probability of finishing the task in 8 movements starting from the state 0? Using Nt: (i) After 30 episodes: *I can finish the task in 8 movements with a probability of 39.4%*. (ii) After 60 episodes: *I can complete the task in 8 moves with a probability of 86.5%*.



tain situations.

- Using a episodic memory, we have computed Ps and Nt.
- MXRL allows the agent to provide explanations using domain-based language.
- Using another more general method, such as function approximator or phenomenological relations from the Q-values.
- Scale to more complex scenarios.

References

- [1] R. S. Sutton and A. G. Barto. *Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction*. Cambridge, MA, USA: Bradford Book, 1998.
- [2] Lim, B.Y., Dey, A.K., Avrahami, D. Why and why not explanations improve the intelligibility of context-aware intelligent systems. *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. pp. 2119–2128, 2009.
- [3] Madumal, P., Miller, T., Sonenberg, L., Vetere, F. *Explainable reinforcement learning through a causal lens*. arXiv preprint arXiv:1905.10958, 2019.